

Re the article in Feb 26 Daily Herald Tribune:

It appears that the boundary proposal is a "quick fix" idea that has not been well thought out.

- 1) If the idea is to have a rural and an urban constituency, why is part of north GP cut out of the urban riding?
- 2) For many years, all levels of government have been pushing for a more REGIONAL approach to issues. Why go back to rural/urban splits that have caused nothing but grief in the past?
- 3) Distance is mentioned as part of the present day problem with getting to all parts of the northern constituencies. The new proposal only INCREASES the distance one MLA would be expected to cover.

Until a better plan is created (with input from the people affected) I would hope that those in authority rethink the electoral map proposed for the GP region and opt to go with the present system until a more reasonable solution for redrawing the lines is found.

Carol Edwards

[REDACTED] (in the proposed Beaverlodge- Valleyview riding)

Grande Prairie

[REDACTED]